

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/960,246	PECUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Inder P. Mehra	2617	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David A. Loewenstein, Attorney, Reg. No. 35,591.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 23 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claim 1*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*As set forth in office action, limitation "capable" should be removed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JOHN PEZZLO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

*Inder Pal Mehra 8/27/06*

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)